1 2

3

56

7

8

9

10

11 12 ERIC TRAVIS,

v.

Plaintiff,

AMERIHOME MORTGAGE COMPANY

Defendant.

13

14

LLC,

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

25

26

24

2728

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Case No. 1:23-cv-01267-KES-SAB

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO FILE AN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL TO <u>PERSONALLY</u> SERVE A COPY OF THIS ORDER AND A COPY OF THE MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL ON PLAINTIFF AND FILE PROOF OF SERVICE

ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO APPEAR AT THE JUNE 18, 2025 HEARING

(ECF No. 29)

## **JUNE 6, 2025 DEADLINE**

Plaintiff Eric Travis filed the operative first amended complaint in this action on July 29, 2024. (ECF No. 16.) A scheduling order issued on October 3, 2024, setting, in pertinent part, the non-expert discovery deadline for February 3, 2025 and the dispositive motion deadline for May 16, 2025. (ECF No. 25.)

On May 16, 2025, Defendant AmeriHome Mortgage Company, LLC filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 29.) Hours after Defendant filed its motion, Counsel for Plaintiff ("Counsel") filed a motion to withdraw as attorney of record. (ECF No. 30.)

### Case 1:23-cv-01267-KES-SAB Document 32 Filed 05/22/25 Page 2 of 4

Counsel's motion to withdraw is procedurally deficient. Local Rule 182(d), provides that:

"Unless otherwise provided herein, an attorney who has appeared may not withdraw leaving the client in propria persona without leave of court upon noticed motion and notice to the client and all other parties who have appeared. The attorney shall provide an affidavit stating the current or last known address or addresses of the client and the efforts made to notify the client of the motion to withdraw. Withdrawal as attorney is governed by the Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of California, and the attorney shall conform to the requirements of those Rules. The authority and duty of the attorney of record shall continue until relieved by order of the Court issued hereunder. Leave to withdraw may be granted subject to such appropriate conditions as the Court deems fit."

L.R. 182(d) (emphasis added). The instant motion is not supported by an affidavit by Counsel. The sparse motion does not otherwise provide Plaintiff's current or last known address, nor does it discuss any efforts made by Counsel to notify Plaintiff of the May 16, 2025 motion. The proof of service does not indicate that Plaintiff was served with the motion. (See ECF No. 30 at 4.)

The motion is also substantively deficient. Counsel conclusorily states that the motion is brought "in compliance with Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-700." (ECF No. 30 at 2.) Notably, Rule 3-700(A)(2) provides that "[a] member shall not withdraw from employment until the member has taken reasonable steps to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to the rights of the client, including giving due notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, complying with rule 3-700(D), and complying with applicable laws and rules." Cal. R. Prof. Conduct 3-700(A)(2) (emphasis added). Counsel provides a letter to Plaintiff informing Plaintiff that Counsel has "decided to terminate [his] representation of [Plaintiff] in connection with [Plaintiff's] civil case and intend in proceeding with a Motion to Withdraw" due in part to "the difficulty [Counsel and Plaintiff] have experienced in communicating with each other." (ECF No. 30 at 6.) However, the letter is dated February 14, 2025. Thus, at the time Counsel informed Plaintiff he was terminated, all expert discovery was open, and the dispositive motion deadline was three months away. Aside from a nondescript contention that "Counsel attempted to contact Plaintiff a few times more" via telephone, the motion is silent as to the steps Counsel has taken in the last three months to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to Plaintiff. Instead, Counsel's

motion was filed hours after Defendant filed a motion for summary judgment. <u>Any opposition to Defendant's motion for summary judgment is **due May 30, 2025**. A motion requesting proper relief related to this deadline must be filed *prior* to May 30, 2025.</u>

Counsel requests that the Court waive oral argument of the motion to withdraw and enter an order that Counsel is withdrawn. (ECF No. 30 at 3.) The Court denies this request. Counsel shall supplement his motion to bring it into compliance with Local Rule 182(d) and the motion shall be heard on June 18, 2025. The Court also determines that it is necessary to have Plaintiff present for the hearing. The Court shall order that Counsel personally serve Plaintiff with the May 16, 2025 motion to withdraw and this order to appear for the June 18, 2025 hearing. The timing of this motion, the reasonable steps taken by Counsel to avoid reasonably foreseeable prejudice to Plaintiff, Plaintiff's notice of the motion, and Plaintiff's understanding of the consequences of being unrepresented by counsel at this late stage of the proceedings are fruitful topics to be addressed at the June 18, 2025 hearing.

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Plaintiff's counsel's request to waive oral argument on the motion to withdraw is DENIED;
- 2. Plaintiff Eric Travis is DIRECTED to appear in person or by videoconference on **June 18, 2025, at 10:00 a.m.** in Courtroom 9 before Magistrate Judge Stanley Boone;
- 3. Plaintiff's counsel is DIRECTED to **personally** serve a copy of this order and a copy of the May 16, 2025 motion to withdraw as counsel on Plaintiff Eric Travis and file proof of service **on or before June 6, 2025**; and

23 ///24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If Counsel is unable to personally serve Plaintiff, he shall file an affidavit **no later than June 6, 2025** that details with particularity <u>all</u> attempts at personal service and subsequent attempts at alternative service.

## Case 1:23-cv-01267-KES-SAB Document 32 Filed 05/22/25 Page 4 of 4

4. Plaintiff's counsel shall file a supplemental affidavit in support of the motion to withdraw in compliance with Local Rule 182(d) to address the deficiencies identified herein **on or before June 6, 2025**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 22, 2025

STANLEY A. BOONE

United States Magistrate Judge